



REVIEW ARTICLE

**Use of artificial intelligence in neurology: analysis of algorithms and their effectiveness in medical practice**

**Piedad Elizabeth Acurio-Padilla**<sup>1</sup>✉ , **Dayana Aracely León-Pallasco**<sup>1</sup> , **Sebastián Oswaldo Paredes-Tobar**<sup>1</sup> , **Elio Joel Palma-Coque**<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Regional Autónoma de Los Andes. Ambato, Ecuador.

**Received:** December 30, 2025

**Accepted:** December 31, 2025

**Published:** December 31, 2025

**Citar como:** Acurio-Padilla PE, León-Pallasco DA, Paredes-Tobar SO, Palma-Coque EJ. Uso de la inteligencia artificial en neurología: análisis de algoritmos y su efectividad en la práctica médica. Rev Ciencias Médicas [Internet]. 2025 [citado: fecha de acceso]; 29(S2): e7068. Disponible en: <http://revcmpinar.sld.cu/index.php/publicaciones/article/view/7068>

**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** neurological diseases are among the leading causes of disability and mortality, making brain health a global priority.

**Objective:** to examine the use of artificial intelligence algorithms in neurology and their diagnostic and therapeutic effectiveness.

**Methods:** a systematic review of the scientific literature was conducted across various databases. The search employed a keyword algorithm with Boolean operators to identify relevant sources. Selected studies, after applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, were critically analyzed considering timeliness, methodological quality, and thematic relevance, and integrated into the final synthesis.

**Development:** artificial intelligence has proven highly useful in the interpretation of neuroimaging, achieving precise identification of brain structures and early detection of neurodegenerative diseases. Deep learning models have been applied to conditions such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and epilepsy, improving classification and prediction of clinical progression. In neuro-oncology, AI algorithms optimized biomarker evaluation and therapeutic response. However, limitations remain regarding insufficient professional training in AI, the need for ethical regulation, and variability of results depending on data quality.

**Conclusions:** the incorporation of artificial intelligence in neurology represents a revolutionary advance, capable of transforming the diagnosis and management of complex pathologies. Its impact lies in diagnostic precision and treatment personalization, though it requires strengthened regulatory frameworks, medical training, and clinical validation to ensure responsible and sustainable use.

**Keywords:** Diagnosis; Neurodegenerative Diseases; Artificial Intelligence; Neurology; Therapeutics.

## INTRODUCTION

Neurological disorders are currently the leading combined cause of disability and mortality worldwide, making brain health a global priority. Simultaneously, rapid advances in artificial intelligence (AI) are revolutionizing neurological research and clinical practice. The potential of AI to enable personalized precision neurology—and to support global brain health initiatives—depends on addressing core challenges across four pillars: models, data, feasibility/equity, and regulation/innovation—through targeted, specific recommendations.<sup>(1)</sup>

Neurology and AI share a long history of collaboration and hold immense potential. Neurological disorders pose intricate challenges due to their complex manifestations and high variability. By automating image interpretation tasks, AI algorithms accurately identify brain structures and detect anomalies, accelerating diagnosis and reducing clinicians' workload. Treatment optimization benefits from AI simulations that model various scenarios and predict outcomes. Current AI systems can already replicate many sophisticated perceptual and cognitive capabilities of biological systems, such as object recognition and decision-making.<sup>(2)</sup>

Digital health—including AI- and machine learning–based technologies—has achieved significant advances across multiple areas of medical care, including neurology. While many physicians express generally positive perceptions of AI and machine learning in clinical practice, formal training in AI among neurologists remains scarce. There are few opportunities for physicians—particularly neurologists—to learn about AI-based technologies.<sup>(3)</sup>

As AI technology advances, it promises to further unravel the complexities of neurological disorders, leading to improved patient care and quality of life. The integration of AI and neurology envisions a future where innovation and compassion converge to reshape neurological care. AI has played a pivotal role in neuroimaging, offering new opportunities for dementia diagnosis and prognosis. A total of 255 studies were identified, most based on the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) dataset; algorithmic classifiers were the most commonly used AI method (48 %), followed by discriminative models.<sup>(4,5)</sup>

AI is rapidly transforming healthcare, with applications in epilepsy growing exponentially over the past decade. Integrating AI into epilepsy management promises to revolutionize the diagnosis and treatment of this complex disorder. However, the translation of AI into routine neurological practice has not yet been successful, underscoring the need to assess current progress and address challenges and limitations—particularly in seizure detection and prediction, seizure lateralization, and seizure onset zone localization.<sup>(6)</sup>

The incorporation of advanced AI technologies into neurological care systems positions this medical field well for AI-driven innovation by 2035. Business models, ethics, regulation, and medical education must evolve in parallel. Using AI on patient data to drive healthcare innovation—with the primary goal of improving patients' lives—will facilitate widespread acceptance and adoption of the practices necessary for successful AI integration in neurology. When planning AI implementation in clinical practice, rigorous research principles must be carefully applied to avoid unjustified costs and inefficiencies while promoting meaningful health outcomes.<sup>(7)</sup>

AI-based decision support enables more reproducible and standardized evaluation of treatment response in neuro-oncology MRI, compared to manual two-dimensional tumor burden assessments using Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) criteria. Additionally, AI models can precisely identify electrographic biomarkers of epilepsy—such as spikes, high-frequency oscillations, and seizure patterns. Integrating AI analysis of electroencephalographic,

clinical, and behavioral data will help optimize therapy for patients with diverse neurological conditions.<sup>(8,9)</sup> Understanding the clinical evidence on AI applications in neurology is essential to guide future research and improve patient interpretation. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the use of AI algorithms in neurology and their diagnostic and therapeutic effectiveness.

## METHODS

A systematic bibliographic review was designed following PRISMA 2020 guidelines to identify and synthesize evidence on AI algorithm applications in neurology. The search period spanned from June 2019 to June 2024, encompassing recent studies reflecting advances in neurological diagnosis and treatment.

Information sources included recognized databases: PubMed, Medline, Embase, Pre-Medline, Cochrane, and DARE, as well as gray literature and secondary references from relevant articles. Publications in English and Spanish were considered to ensure inclusion of both regional and international studies. The search strategy employed DeCS and MeSH terms related to "artificial intelligence," "medical imaging," "neurological diagnosis," and "neuroimaging," combined with Boolean operators (AND, OR). Temporal and thematic filters were applied.

Inclusion criteria comprised descriptive, observational, and clinical trials evaluating AI efficacy in diagnosing and managing neurological pathologies. Duplicates, articles without full-text access, irrelevant publications, and those focused on sociological or non-clinical factors were excluded.

The selection process occurred in phases: initial identification of 100 studies, removal of 20 duplicates, exclusion of 15 for irrelevance and 10 for inaccessible full text. Ultimately, 37 studies were included in the review.

Data extraction and analysis were performed independently by two reviewers, who collected key variables: author, year, methodological design, sample characteristics, intervention type, and main results. Disagreements were resolved by consensus or a third reviewer. A qualitative synthesis of findings was conducted, and when methodological homogeneity permitted, meta-analysis techniques were applied to estimate pooled effect measures.

## DEVELOPMENT

When discussing AI and neurology, two key aspects must be highlighted: the reduction of human error in nearly imperceptible features and the early detection of neurodegenerative diseases that do not manifest in initial stages. As Obermeyer notes, AI has previously been used to predict psychiatric disorders and spinal atrophy, but controversy remains regarding its use for diagnosing diseases with neuroradiologist-level accuracy.<sup>(10)</sup>

Disorders of consciousness (DoC) are primarily caused by stroke (84 %), followed by traumatic brain injury, post-surgical sequelae, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, and other encephalitides or encephalopathies (16 %). Kondziella et al.,<sup>(11)</sup> emphasize that AI application in neuroimaging and neurophysiological testing facilitates functional and temporal prognostication in complex scenarios, such as altered states of consciousness. Maier et al.,<sup>(12)</sup> report that brain-computer interface systems achieve 80 % efficacy due to ease of patient adaptation and adherence.

AI offers significant advances in biomedicine. Machine learning and deep learning techniques enable algorithm development for accurate patient classification and categorization through integration of complex or multi-source data. Goeks et al.,<sup>(13)</sup> note that computer-aided diagnosis accelerates analysis and extracts more information than traditional methods.

García-Gutiérrez et al.,<sup>(14)</sup> indicate AI's relevance—for example, the Mini-Mental State Examination shows high sensitivity and specificity, as do machine learning algorithms differentiating Alzheimer's disease from frontotemporal dementia with high accuracy.

AI models rely on algorithms that guide information processing, enabling machines to learn and perform specific tasks. Russell et al.,<sup>(15)</sup> analyze AI as a qualified tool for medical history analysis via natural language processing, identifying thrombosis risk factors and reducing complication risks. Raskob et al.,<sup>(16)</sup> detail AI's high impact in early thrombosis diagnosis through analysis of CT and MRI scans, demonstrating higher specificity and sensitivity than human interpretation. Clearly, AI-based medical decision-making is increasingly relevant, serving as an essential tool for implementing personalized and precision medicine.

In neurology, AI's relevance is profound due to its ability to analyze large datasets, recognize patterns, and predict outcomes—crucial for understanding complex neurological disorders and improving patient care. Castellón et al.,<sup>(17)</sup> used an artificial neural network trained to identify glioblastomas via biomarkers extracted from <sup>99m</sup>Tc-MIBI SPECT brain images using a multilayer perceptron. Analysis of 220 images yielded 97,3 % accuracy and a low 2,7 % error rate, enabling faster, more accurate glioblastoma diagnosis—the most common malignant primary brain tumor, representing 25 % of adult brain tumors.

Stroke is the third leading cause of death in Ecuador, with a 23 % mortality rate in the general population, largely due to delayed or ineffective diagnosis. Pagola,<sup>(18)</sup> describes an automated system using wearable inertial sensors to recognize movement and strength loss. Integrated with AI algorithms during acute stroke, it determined signs of impairment, paresis severity, and—combined with neuroimaging—detected ischemic zones with contrast and vascular occlusion without contrast, representing a significant technological advance.

AI has contributed substantially to medical sciences—enhancing clinical and paraclinical diagnosis, predicting complications and mortality, assessing risk factors for pre-pathogenic disease occurrence, and innovating therapeutic and surgical management. Castellazzi et al.,<sup>(19)</sup> applied machine learning algorithms to 15 dementia patients to automatically differentiate Alzheimer's from vascular dementia using MRI, achieving 84 % diagnostic accuracy.

Shinde et al.,<sup>(20)</sup> studied 45 Parkinson's disease patients, 20 with atypical parkinsonian syndromes (multiple system atrophy, progressive supranuclear palsy), and 35 healthy controls. Using deep learning (convolutional neural networks), they achieved 80 % diagnostic accuracy for Parkinson's and 87,5 % accuracy in differentiating atypical syndromes from Parkinson's disease.

Despite ongoing human cognitive evolution, intelligence must be studied as a dominant skill to uncover brain function. Research shows the human brain uses a high-dimensional network sampling mechanism to flexibly encode diverse cognitive tasks.<sup>(21)</sup> Zhou et al.,<sup>(22)</sup> highlight the need for novel neuroimaging tools to visualize these brain functions. Thus, numerous AI models require proper understanding and adaptation by healthcare personnel for effective implementation in clinical settings.

Defining cerebral graph resolution is crucial for improving neurological disorder diagnosis via multi-topology connectome templates.<sup>(23)</sup> Mhiri et al.,<sup>(24)</sup> explain graph fusion with technology interpretation, noting its effectiveness as integrative training for healthcare staff.

Luo et al.,<sup>(25)</sup> capture multiple perspectives on brain structure, function, and connectivity—increasingly applied in diagnosing and researching brain diseases. These features may serve as clinically useful diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. He et al.,<sup>(26)</sup> employ artificial connections between brain tracts and images to identify pathological patterns. Wang et al.,<sup>(27)</sup> justify using neurological exams supported by AI to interpret models across multiple sequences—demonstrating how a single imaging study can yield varied interpretations.

Jiménez-Mesa et al.,<sup>(28)</sup> correlate imaging and AI system characteristics to build computer-aided diagnostic systems as clinical support tools. Using neuroimaging and machine learning (ML) to differentiate schizophrenia patients from healthy controls and detect abnormal brain regions offers clinical diagnostic reference value. Chen et al.,<sup>(29)</sup> distinguish schizophrenia from malignant neoplasms with >85 % classification accuracy using AI.

Image segmentations often differ yet show concordance between manual and model-based volumetric analyses. Gologorsky et al.,<sup>(30)</sup> reconstruct images from existing MRI data using deep volumetric segmentation models trained to identify sellar and parasellar anatomy. Neuroimaging's diagnostic benefit is widely recognized; Zhuang,<sup>(31)</sup> highlights optimal lumbar puncture segment selection—demonstrating L3–L4 as the first-choice segment for ages 10–60 via CT.

Large-scale neuroimaging datasets are crucial for neurological disorders like autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, PTSD, and Alzheimer's disease. Lanka et al.,<sup>(32)</sup> propose functional connectivity patterns for diagnostic prediction. Zhang et al.,<sup>(33)</sup> found global functional efficiency is stronger in the male brain before midlife but weakens thereafter compared to the female brain.

Key guidelines for diagnosing and treating neurological disorders center on neuroimaging—though access remains limited for many patients. Korzenierska et al.,<sup>(34)</sup> demonstrate task-related neuronal propagation events along a bidimensional axis, revealing how cognitive tasks affect propagation strength and direction across human cortical networks. Ma et al.,<sup>(35)</sup> support combining graph convolutional networks with resting-state fMRI data as a promising approach for early autism spectrum disorder diagnosis.

Finally, disorders of consciousness diagnosis must be addressed. Yang et al.,<sup>(36)</sup> describe machine learning methods for general neurological and psychiatric disorders, successfully using resting-state fMRI for patient assessment. Zheng et al.,<sup>(37)</sup> note radiotherapy's efficacy for head and neck cancer—but radiation-induced temporal lobe injury is a serious complication. Symptoms—including irreversible memory loss, cognitive decline, and even temporal lobe necrosis—can result from radiation exposure.<sup>(38)</sup>

## CONCLUSIONS

AI has become a key tool in diagnosing neurological disorders, integrating into multiple processes and techniques that enhance clinical precision. Its application in neuroimaging—particularly CT and MRI—enables more accurate interpretation of brain structures and diagnostic parameters. Additionally, AI-powered robotic systems are emerging as an innovative trend to corroborate medical studies and expand diagnostic alternatives. These technological advances require proper implementation and healthcare staff training to ensure effective integration into clinical practice and improved patient care.

## BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

1. Voigtlaender S, Pawelczyk J, Geiger M, Vaios EJ, Karschnia P, Cudkowicz M, et al. Artificial intelligence in neurology: opportunities, challenges, and policy implications. *J Neurol* [Internet]. 2024 May [Citado 20/06/2025]; 271(5):2258-2273. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38367046/>
2. Kalani M, Anjankar A. Revolutionizing Neurology: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Advancing Diagnosis and Treatment. *Cureus* [Internet]. 2024 Jun 5 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 16(6): e61706. Disponible en: <https://www.cureus.com/articles/191503-revolutionizing-neurology-the-role-of-artificial-intelligence-in-advancing-diagnosis-and-treatment>
3. Kedar S, Khazanchi D. Neurology education in the era of artificial intelligence. *Curr Opin Neurol* [Internet]. 2023 Feb 1 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 36(1): 51-58. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36367213/>
4. Borchert RJ, Azevedo T, Badhwar A, Bernal J, Betts M, Bruffaerts R, et al. Artificial intelligence for diagnostic and prognostic neuroimaging in dementia: A systematic review. *Alzheimers Dement* [Internet]. 2023 Dec [Citado 20/06/2025]; 19(12):5885-5904. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37563912/>
5. Yang Y, Yuan Y, Zhang G, Wang H, Chen YC, Liu Y, et al. Artificial intelligence-enabled detection and assessment of Parkinson's disease using nocturnal breathing signals. *Nat Med* [Internet]. 2022 Oct [Citado 20/06/2025]; 28(10): 2207-2215. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35995955/>
6. Hillis JM, Bizzo BC. Use of Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Neurology. *Semin Neurol* [Internet]. 2022 Feb [Citado 20/06/2025]; 42(1): 39-47. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35576929/>
7. Jones DT, Kerber KA. Artificial Intelligence and the Practice of Neurology in 2035: The Neurology Future Forecasting Series. *Neurology* [Internet]. 2022 Feb 8 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 98(6): 238-245. Disponible en: <https://www.neurology.org/doi/10.1212/WNL.000000000013200>
8. Duong MT, Rauschecker AM, Mohan S. Diverse Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Neuroradiology. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* [Internet]. 2020 Nov [Citado 20/06/2025]; 30(4): 505-516. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33039000/>

9. Knight A, Gschwind T, Galer P, Worrell GA, Litt B, Soltesz I, et al. Artificial intelligence in epilepsy phenotyping. *Epilepsia* [Internet]. 2025 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 66 (Suppl 3):39-52. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37983589/>
10. Z. Obermeyer EJ. Predicting the future-big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2016 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 375(13): 1216-1219. Disponible en: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5070532/>
11. Kondziella D, Bender A, Diserens K, van Erp W, Estraneo A, Formisano R, et al. European Academy of Neurology guideline on the diagnosis of coma and other disorders of consciousness. *Eur J Neurol* [Internet]. 2020 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 27(5): 741-56. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32090418/>
12. Maier M, Ballester BR, Verschure PFMJ. Principles of neurorehabilitation after stroke based on motor learning and brain plasticity mechanisms. *Front Syst Neurosci* [Internet]. 2019 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 13:74. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31920570/>
13. Goecks J, Jalili V, Heiser LM, Gray JW. How machine learning will transform biomedicine. *Cell* [Internet]. 2020 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 181(1): 92-101. Disponible en: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867420302841>
14. García-Gutiérrez F, Delgado-Álvarez A, Delgado-Alonso C, Díaz-Álvarez J, Pytel V, Valles-Salgado M, et al. Diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease and behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia using machine learning-aided neuropsychological assessment using feature engineering and genetic algorithms. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2021 Dec 11 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 37(2). Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34894410/>
15. Russell S, Norvig P. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 4ª ed. Pearson; 2021.
16. Raskob GE, Angchaisuksiri P, Blanco AN, Buller H, Gallus A, Hunt BJ, et al. Thrombosis: a major contributor to global disease burden. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* [Internet]. 2014 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 34(11): 2363-71. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25304324/>
17. Castellón, Roberto León, et al. "Red neuronal artificial para el reconocimiento de glioblastomas en imágenes de SPECT cerebral con 99mTc-MIBI." *Revista Cubana de Neurología y Neurocirugía* [Internet]. 2023 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 13(1). Disponible en: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/ejemplar/694218>
18. Pagola J. "Inteligencia artificial en el ictus Artificial intelligence in stroke." *Kranion* [Internet]. 2025 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 18(2). Disponible en: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371564946> *Inteligencia artificial en el ictus*
19. Castellazzi G, Cuzzoni MG, Cotta Ramusino M, Martinelli D, Denaro F, Ricciardi A, et al. A Machine Learning Approach for the Differential Diagnosis of Alzheimer and Vascular Dementia Fed by MRI Selected Features. *Frontiers in neuroinformatics* [Internet]. 2020 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 14: 25. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32595465/>
20. Shinde S, Prasad S, Saboo Y, Kaushick R, Saini J, Pal PK, et al. Predictive markers for Parkinson's disease using deep neural nets on neuromelanin sensitive MRI. *NeuroImage. Clinical* [Internet]. 2019 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 22: 101748. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30870733/>

21. Soreq E, Violante IR, Daws RE, Hampshire A. Neuroimaging evidence for a network sampling theory of individual differences in human intelligence test performance. *Nat Commun* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 6 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 12(1): 2072. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33824305/>
22. Zhou Y, Jia G, Ren Y, Ren Y, Xiao Z, Wang Y. Advancing ASD identification with neuroimaging: a novel GARL methodology integrating Deep Q-Learning and generative adversarial networks. *BMC Med Imaging* [Internet]. 2024 Jul 25 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 24(1): 186. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39054419/>
23. Yu WY, Sun TH, Hsu KC, Wang CC, Chien SY, Tsai CH, et al. Comparative analysis of machine learning algorithms for Alzheimer's disease classification using EEG signals and genetic information. *Comput Biol Med* [Internet]. 2024 Jun [Citado 20/06/2025]; 176: 108621. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38763067/>
24. Mhiri I, Khalifa AB, Mahjoub MA, Rekik I. Brain graph super-resolution for boosting neurological disorder diagnosis using unsupervised multi-topology connectional brain template learning. *Med Image Anal* [Internet]. 2020 Oct [Citado 20/06/2025]; 65: 101768. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32679534/>
25. Luo Y, Chen W, Zhan L, Qiu J, Jia T. Multi-feature concatenation and multi-classifier stacking: An interpretable and generalizable machine learning method for MDD discrimination with rsfMRI. *NeuroImage* [Internet]. 2024 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 285: 120497. Disponible en: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S105381192300647X>
26. He F, Li Y, Li C, Zhao J, Liu T, Fan L, et al. Changes in the connection network of whole-brain fiber tracts in patients with Alzheimer's disease have a tendency of lateralization. *Neuroreport* [Internet]. 2021 Oct 6 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 32(14): 1175-1182. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34334777/>
27. Wang C, Piao S, Huang Z, Gao Q, Zhang J, Li Y, et al. Joint learning framework of cross-modal synthesis and diagnosis for Alzheimer's disease by mining underlying shared modality information. *Med Image Anal* [Internet]. 2024 Jan [Citado 20/06/2025]; 91: 103032. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37995628/>
28. Jimenez-Mesa C, Ramirez J, Yi Z, Yan C, Chan R, Murray GK, et al. Machine learning in small sample neuroimaging studies: Novel measures for schizophrenia analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp* [Internet]. 2024 Apr [Citado 20/06/2025]; 45(5): e26555. Disponible en: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10973702/>
29. Chen Z, Yan T, Wang E, Jiang H, Tang Y, Yu X, et al. Detecting Abnormal Brain Regions in Schizophrenia Using Structural MRI via Machine Learning. *Comput Intell Neurosci* [Internet]. 2020 Apr 5 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 2020: 6405930. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32300361/>
30. Gologorsky R, Harake E, von Oiste G, Nasir-Moin M, Couldwell W, Oermann E, et al. Generating novel pituitary datasets from open-source imaging data and deep volumetric segmentation. *Pituitary* [Internet]. 2022 Dec [Citado 20/06/2025]; 25(6): 842-853. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35943676/>

31. Zhuang YD, Hu XC, Dai KX, Ye J, Zhang CH, Zhuo WX, et al. Quantitative anatomical analysis of lumbar interspaces based on 3D CT imaging: optimized segment selection for lumbar puncture in different age groups. *Neuroradiology* [Internet]. 2024 Mar [Citado 20/06/2025]; 66(3): 443-455. Disponible en: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10859322/>
32. Lanka P, Rangaprakash D, Dretsch MN, Katz JS, Denney TS Jr, Deshpande G. Supervised machine learning for diagnostic classification from large-scale neuroimaging datasets. *Brain Imaging Behav* [Internet]. 2020 Dec [Citado 20/06/2025]; 14(6): 2378-2416. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31691160/>
33. Zhang Y, Luo Q, Huang CC, Lo CZ, Langley C, Desrivieres S, et al. The Human Brain Is Best Described as Being on a Female/Male Continuum: Evidence from a Neuroimaging Connectivity Study. *Cereb Cortex* [Internet]. 2021 May 10 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 31(6): 3021-3033. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33471126/>
34. Korzeniewska A, Mitsuhashi T, Wang Y, Asano E, Franaszczuk PJ, Crone NE. Significance of event related causality (ERC) in eloquent neural networks. *Neural Netw* [Internet]. 2022 May [Citado 20/06/2025]; 149: 204-216. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35248810/>
35. Ma C, Li W, Ke S, Lv J, Zhou T, Zou L. Identification of autism spectrum disorder using multiple functional connectivity-based graph convolutional network. *Med Biol Eng Comput* [Internet]. 2024 Jul [Citado 20/06/2025]; 62(7): 2133-2144. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38457067/>
36. Yang H, et al. Precise detection of awareness in disorders of consciousness using deep learning framework. *NeuroImage* [Internet]. 2024 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 290: 120580. Disponible en: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811924000752>
37. Zheng Z, Wang B, Zhao Q, Zhang Y, Wei J, Meng L, et al. Research progress on mechanism and imaging of temporal lobe injury induced by radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Eur Radiol* [Internet]. 2022 Jan [Citado 20/06/2025]; 32(1): 319-330. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34327577/>
38. Gutiérrez-Martínez J, Núñez-Gaona MA, Carrillo-Mora P. "Avances tecnológicos en neurorrehabilitación". *Rev Invest Clin* [Internet]. 2014 [Citado 20/06/2025]; 66(S1): 8-23. Disponible en: <https://www.medigraphic.com/pdfs/revinvcli/nn-2014/nns141b.pdf>